Appendix A

	^Data no	int may be prev	/ious quarter	or previous ve	ear.	* Fast Mid	lands not SN			
Supporting Indicator	^Data point may be previous quarter or previous year. BETTER OF Worse than				cai.	ivational benchmark			ical	
	Undated		Current	previous data		Chahua DAC	(quartile 1 =	Neighb	oour	10 tous
Children and young people are safe within caring family homes	Updated	Latest update	Performance	point^	Trend Charts	Status RAG	тор)	benchr	mark 2017/1	18 targe
Social Care										
% child protection cases which were reviewed within timescales.	V	01 2016/17	00.100	/ Cinciles		6			NA F0/	1000
% of children becoming subject to a child protection plan for second or subsequent time	Y Y	Q1 2016/17		6 Similar	_	G D			94.5%	1009
% of Child Protection plans lasting 2 years or more open at the end of the quarter	Y	Q1 2016/17		6 Better 6 Similar		^			1.7% no targ	
% of Child Protection plans lasting 2 years or more that cease during the quarter	Y	Q1 2016/17 Q1 2016/17		6 Similar		C			1.7% no targ	
Placement stability - % children with 3 or more placements during a year	Y	Q1 2016/17		6 Better		٨			3.5% no targ	get
Placement stability - % children in same placement for 2+ years or placed for adoption	Y	Q1 2016/17		6 Better		^			5.70%	70%
% of Care Leavers in suitable accommodation	Y	2016		6 Better	~~	^			78.3% Top qu	
The % of Care leavers in education, employment and training (EET).	Y	2016		6 Better	$\overline{}$	٨			14.0% Top qu	
	· ·	2010	32/	o better	V U	А		3 4		
% children who wait less than 20 months between entering care and moving in with their adoptive family									52%	65%
% of children placed for adoption over last 3 years whose placement has broken down	Υ	2014-2016	:	1 New		n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
No of adoption support packages in place						,		n/a		
CSE referrals	Υ	Q1 2016/17	8.	7 Lower		n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Supporting Leicestershire Families and Early Help						,	,			
No. of families open to the Early Help service	Υ	Q1 2016/17	1030	0 New		n/a	n/a	n/a	no tar	
No. of children/families in receipt of early help and family support services						Δ	,	n/a	no tar	
No. of SLF families claimed for as a % of overall payment by results target The % of families referred to locality hubs that are allocated/processed within 28 day:	Y	Q1 2016/17 Q1 2016/17		n/a 6 Worse		A	n/a n/a	n/a n/a	no tar	rget 95%
The % of Social Care contacts referred to Early Help	Y	Q1 2016/17	18.40%			A n/a	n/a	n/a	no tar	
Number of Early Help stepped up to Social Care	Υ Υ	Q1 2016/17		9 New		n/a	n/a	n/a		
Feedback from families and evaluation provides evidence of positive impact - including through family and youtl		<u> </u>		7 New		11/a	Пуа		no tar	
ttar		See Appendix	•					n/a	no tar	get
Children and young people have their health, wellbeing and life chances improved				Higner - no						
SEND - Children and young people assessed and decision taken whether or not to issue a statement, or EHC plan, during calendar year	Υ	2015	360	_O polarity		n/a	n/a	n/a	No tar	rget
SEND - Percentage of EHC plans issued within 20 weeks	Υ	2015	99.9%	-		G	:	1 3	37.3% No tar	rget
SEND - Total number of statements / EHC plans over 12 month period	Υ	2015	2995	Higher - no polarity		n/a	n/a	n/a	No tar	rget
SEND - no. of SEND appeals lodged for calendar year per 10,000 school population	Υ	2015		9 Better		A		2	3.39 No tar	
The % of children in care who have had dental checks.	Υ	2016		6 Worse				n/a	Increa	
The % of children in care who have their annual health assessment	Υ	2016		6 Better				n/a	Increa	ase
The % of children in care with up to date immunisations	Υ	2016		6 Worse				n/a	Increa	ase
The average emotional health strengths/difficulties score for children in care			n/a					•		
Children and young people and their families live within thriving communities										
Number of first time entrants to the criminal justice system aged 10-17	Υ	Q4 2015/16	124	4 Better		G		3	314.9 Top qu	uartile
Rate of re-offending by young offenders	Υ	Q3 2015/16	0.62	2 Better		G		n/a	Top qu	uartile
Number of instance of the use of custody for young people	Υ	Q4 2015/16	:	1 New		G		n/a	<5%	
The % of people reporting they have been a victim of ASB in the last 12 months	Υ	Q4 2015/16	5.40%	6 Similar		G		n/a	Reduc	ce
The % of people who agree that the Police and other local services are successfully dealing with ASB and Crime	Υ	Q4 2015/16	92.70%	6 Better		G		n/a		
% of people who feel safe in their local area after dark	Υ	Q4 2015/16		6 Better		n/a		n/a		-
Overall Crime Rate (per 1,000 population)	Υ	Q4 2015/16		1 Similar		•		n/a		
Reported hate incidents (per 1,000 population)	Υ	Q4 2015/16		8 Better		A		n/a		
The % of people who agree that people from different backgrounds get on	Y	Q4 2015/16		6 Worse		n/a	n/a	n/a		
The number of County cases referred to Channel in the last 12 months (Leics and Rutland)	Υ Υ	Q1 2016/17		2 n/a		n/a	n/a	n/a		
% of domestic violence cases reviewed at MARAC that are repeat incidents	Y	Q4 2015/16		6 Better		A	n/a	n/a		
·							_			

RAG rating key

Top quartile of local authorities or high in the second quartile with an improving trenc

Second or third quartile with room for improvement Fourth quartile or low in the third quartile with a declining trenc

